

**REMARKS**

In the Office Action mailed December 19, 2005, claims 1-14 were rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 and 13-19 over US Patent 6,728,876 to Kumar. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection, because the sequence of acts claimed is patently distinct from the '876 patent. Specifically, the 876 patent claims the first act in the sequence being repeated until no instructions remain, while the present application claims that the entire sequence of acts is repeated until no instructions remain.

However, Applicant submits herein a terminal disclaimer disclaiming any additional patent term beyond the expiration of US Patent No. 6,728,876.


**INVITATION TO TELEPHONE CONFERENCE**

If any remaining issues exist, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Date: January 25, 2006

Sierra Patent Group, Ltd.  
1657 Hwy 395, Suite 202  
Minden, NV 89423  
Telephone: (775) 586-9500

Respectfully submitted,  
SIERRA PATENT GROUP, LTD.

  
John W. Crosby  
Reg. No. 49,058